

No M-13015/03/2018-RH(A/C)-364960
Government of India
Ministry of Rural Development
Rural Housing Division

Krishi Bhawan, New Delhi
Dated 8th February, 2019

To,

The Secretary/Principal Secretary (RD)
States/UTs Government of Sikkim, Maharashtra, Uttrakhand, Meghalaya, Jammu &
Kashmir, Karnataka, Harayana, Assam, Tamil Nadu, Manipur, Chhattisgarh, Goa,
Andhra Pradesh, Bihar, Kerala, Mizoram, Nagaland, Dadra & Nagar Haveli, Daman
& Diu, Andaman and Nicobar Island.

**Sub: Minutes of Empowered Committee Meeting held on 08.01.2019 under Pradhan
Mantri Awaas Yojna (Gramin)**

Sir/Madam

I am directed to enclose herewith Minutes of the meeting of Empowered Committee held on 08.01.2019 under Chairmanship of Secretary (RD) in Krishi Bhawan, New Delhi to consider the proposals from the States/UTs, for reallocation/Surrender of targets allocated to them under Pradhan Mantri Awaas Yojana-Gramin (PMAY-G), and issues related to implementation of the scheme like gap between PMAY-G target allocated, houses sanctioned, 1st instalment released and completion plan to complete PMAY-G houses by 31st March 2019 and other state specific issues for the information and necessary action.

2. This issues with the approval of Secretary (RD).

Encl: As above



(P.K. Singh)

**Deputy Secretary to the Government of India
Ph. 011-23388770**

Copy to:

- i) PPS to Secretary (RD)
- ii) PPO to Additional Secretary (RD)
- iii) PPS to SS&FA(RD)
- iv) PPS to JS (RH)
- v) PA to DDG (RH)

Minutes of the Empowered Committee (EC) meeting held on 8th January, 2019

A meeting of Empowered Committee (EC) under the Chairmanship of Secretary, Rural Development was convened on 8th January, 2019 to consider surrender/reallocation of targets allocated under Pradhan Mantri Awaas Yojana-Gramin (PMAY-G), and issues related to implementation of the scheme like gap between PMAY-G target allocated, house sanctioned, 1st installment released and completion plan to complete PMAY-G houses by 31st March, 2019. The State specific issues were also placed before EC for consideration.

2. The list of participants is at Annexure-I.
3. Joint Secretary (Rural Housing) welcomed the participants and briefed about the agenda of EC meeting. After detailed discussions on State/UT-wise agenda items, the Empowered Committee decided as below:

4. Sikkim

Agenda Item No. 1 - Surrender of target of 881 houses under PMAY-G and 482 houses under IAY

- (i) The proposal to surrender target of 881 PMAY-G and 482 IAY beneficiaries was presented before the Empowered Committee. Untraceable beneficiaries and non-identification of beneficiaries due to migration and incomplete documentation had been cited as the reason for surrender of target. The State informed that final surrender target is 880 houses.
- (ii) *The EC approved the surrender of targets as mentioned by the State.*

Agenda Item No. 2 - Extension of a month to access Awaas+ to upload the names of households left out from PWL of PMAY-G

- (iii) The State requested additional time of 15 days for uploading of additional beneficiaries in the Awaas+ data entry module. It was clarified that the last date of uploading of beneficiaries for inclusion in PWL ended on 30.11.2018. The original date for such uploading of data was 31.03.2018 which was extended to 30.06.2018 and then to 30.09.2018 and finally to 30.11.2018. However, the inclusion of beneficiaries in the PWL of PMAY-G will be

considered after obtaining approval of the Competent Authority in Government of India.

5. Maharashtra

Agenda - In view of the target of construction of 4,49,820 PMAY-G houses the State to furnish detailed plan for completion of the remaining houses till March 2019 or surrender the target accordingly in case the state cannot complete the construction of PMAY-G houses as per the allocated targets for consideration of EC so that it can be allocated to other States.

- (i) The State informed that out of the allotted target of 4,49,820 houses, 4,27,596 houses were sanctioned till date out of which 2,79,275 houses have been reported as complete. The State mentioned that by 31st March, 2019 1,20,000 more houses would be completed. The final figure for completion of PMAY-G houses projected by the State was 4.0 lakh which means non-completion of approximate 49,000 houses by March, 2019. Therefore the State was requested to complete the balance of 49 thousand also and this may require allocation of land to the landless also.
- (ii) *As regards the additional targets to be allocated to the State, Secretary (RD) informed that EFC meeting for the targets of the next three years will be convened very soon. As and when the Ministry will get the approval of Cabinet the targets to the States/UTs will be communicated.*

6. Uttarakhand

Agenda - The proposal of surrender of 3,041 targets by the State of Uttarakhand is due to non-availability of beneficiaries placed before EC for. This may also mean no target for year 2019-20 to 2021-2022

- (i) There are 225 beneficiaries in the State whose villages have been moved from rural areas to urban areas. The State has requested for providing an option in the drop-down list in the remand module regarding removal of such beneficiaries under PMAY- G from PWL.
- (ii) There are around 1063 PMAY-G beneficiaries in the States who are not willing to move from present dwelling location to the land allocated by the State government for house construction. The state has requested for provision in the remand

module for uploading the letter of dissent of such beneficiaries and removal of their names PWL.

- (iii) 1753 PMAY-G beneficiaries were found ineligible at the time of geo-tagging. Names of such beneficiaries are being removed by the state through remand Module from PWL and only 88 such beneficiaries are yet to be removed.
- (iv) Targets from the PWL are nearly achieved by the State. The state has requested for the allotting target for 2019-20 from the 80,352 eligible households which were not included in PWL.
- (v) *The request from the State for providing an option in the drop-down list in the remand module for removal of beneficiaries from PWL under PMAY- G who have moved from rural to urban areas was approved by the EC. State to send formal communication in this regard.*
- (vi) *The request from the State for provision in the remand module for uploading the letter of dissent of the beneficiaries not willing to move from present dwelling location to the land allocated by the State government for house construction, was approved by the EC. State to send formal communication in this regard.*
- (vii) *The EC decided that a team from the Ministry will visit the State to verify the cases of eligible additional households to take appropriate action regarding allotting target for 2019-20.*

7. Meghalaya

Agenda- Exemption from Geo-tagging of those houses which are incomplete even after 12 months due to accessibility issues

- (i) The completion of houses delayed beyond 12 months of receiving the first instalment has automatically been frozen in the AwaasSoft for any further payments. To receive the further payments and restart the work uploading of the re-geotagged photograph is mandatory. The State has requested relaxation for the same considering the difficult terrain in the State. As on date, there are 9000+ such cases reported by the State.
- (ii) *Secretary RD mentioned that once relaxation approved for the State of Meghalaya, other States may also request for the same. Therefore request from the state of Meghalaya will be considered later on.*

8. Dadra & Nagar Haveli and Daman & Diu

Agenda: The UT of DNH has intimated that they have not found eligible beneficiaries as per targets allocated to them under PMAY-G. As per E-4 report as on 07.01.2019, they have only 6,597 eligible beneficiaries in their PWL. The UT expressed the surrender of remaining targets due to non-availability of eligible beneficiaries.

The representatives of UT of DNH were not present in the meeting. Hence the agenda items pertaining to DNH were not discussed.

9. Daman & Diu

Agenda: The MoRD has allocated target of 15 PMAY-G houses to the UT of Daman & Diu. As on 31st December 2018, state has sanctioned 14 houses, has disbursed 1st installment to 13 beneficiaries and has completed the construction of 13 (86.67%) PMAY-G houses. The UT of Daman and Diu is not able to generate Sanction Order for 01 houses for the UT has requested vide letter No. DRDA/PMAY-G/Misc./2017-18/388, dated 17/11/2018 to change the category of 04 beneficiaries from “Other Category” to “ST Category” which shall enable them to generate the sanction order for a beneficiary. Further, the remaining 01 house out of target of 15 houses is under family property litigation. Therefore, the UT may complete the construction of 01 house once the given issue is resolved. Further, for the other 01 house under litigation, the decision of Hon’ble court is pending, post which the UT can set tentative target of completion of house.

The representatives of UT Daman & Diu were not present in the meeting. Hence the agenda items pertaining to Daman & Diu were not discussed.

10. Jammu & Kashmir

Agenda Item No. 1 - The State of Jammu and Kashmir had sent the proposal for sanction of special project for rehabilitation of ST Families at Rakh Bartoin, Samba district of J&K under PMAY-G. It was further stated in the proposal that All India Institute of Medical Sciences (AIIMS) for Jammu Division has

been sanctioned in District Samba of J&K. The site identified for AIIMS at village Rakh Barotian, Vijaypur, was under the occupation of 247 Nomadic families of ST category. But these beneficiaries are not listed in the SECC 2011 database. A unanimous resolution has been passed by the special Gram Sabha for providing the financial assistance to those families under PMAY-G. The State Govt. of J&K requested to approve the proposal of ₹ 288.99 lakh for rehabilitation of these 247 Nomadic families as special package under PMAY-G.

- (i) The State mentioned that the ST families of Rakh Bartoin, Samba district of J&K for which rehabilitation package has been sought have already been registered under Awaas+. A video of these families was also shown by the State during the meeting. The State further indicated that they are in a position to ensure sanction and completion of houses for these families if the proposal was accepted.
- (ii) *Secretary (RD) indicated that the State may study and submit a detailed note on the Land Acquisition Act of J&K and its provision(s) to provide land to these ST families.*

Agenda Item No. 2 : Gap between house allocated and house sanctioned

- (iii) The State informed that they will not surrender their targets under PMAY-G and have identified required no. of beneficiaries to meet these targets. The State further informed that they are run out of PWL and their focus for the subsequent year shall be on providing assistance to the beneficiaries targeted under PMAY-G from data uploaded on Awaas+ module. The State intimated the completion plan of construction of PMAY-G houses by 31st March, 2019.

Agenda Item No. 3

- (iv) The State further indicated that they have IAY funds in the State Nodal Account for FY 2015-16 and sought approval for FTO generation against the same.
- (v) *The State was asked to submit a detailed proposal to the Ministry which may be examined in the Ministry.*

11. Karnataka

Agenda: Gap between house allocated and house sanctioned

- i. The state of Karnataka mentioned that the state has a rural housing scheme which provides an assistance of ₹ 1,75,000 to its beneficiaries. Because of the higher assistance provided under this scheme, the PMAY-G beneficiaries identified are not willing to avail the assistance (a total of 1,50,000 including state top up) under PMAY-G, leading to a lag in completion of PMAY-G targets. It was stated that the number of such beneficiaries is 15,000 in Karnataka. It was indicated to the State that the State may sanction other willing beneficiaries in place of these beneficiaries and a proposal to that effect may be submitted to the Ministry.
- ii. The State further highlighted that they have captured time stamped data for left out beneficiaries using their own system. The volume of this data is 24 lakhs. The State requested for assistance from the ministry to push this data to Awaas+.
- iii. *Secretary (RD) mentioned that since the last date of uploading of data on Awaas+ was over and that the State had used Awaas+ to capture of such data without prior approval therefore, the data captured by the State needs to be examined before taking a view in this regard.*

12. Andaman and Nicobar Islands

The target fixed by MoRD for Andaman and Nicobar Islands is 972. It was informed by the representative of UT that construction of 598 houses can be achieved subject to allotment of land to landless beneficiaries, and the remaining 374 houses are to be surrendered by the UT.

13. Haryana

Agenda - Non-availability of SC Beneficiaries

- (i) The State Government Officials requested for approval on Non-availability of SC Beneficiaries. The State has intimated that as per SECC-2011, there are only 45% SC, hence it was requested that a provision be made in AwaasSoft to upload 45% SC target. The State also requested to allocate the targets from "SC" category to "others" category.

- (ii) It was mentioned in the meeting that according to Framework for Implementation (FFI) of PMAY-G 60% target are earmarked for SC/ST category. In case eligible PMAY-G beneficiaries under ST/SC categories are not available in the PWL, a certificate may be submitted from Chief Secretary of the State in this regard. It was advised that, however, few houses may be kept reserved for this category (if any eligible beneficiaries come to the notice in future) and for remaining targets the proposal may be submitted to the Ministry for consideration.

Agenda: Gap between house allocated and house sanctioned

- (iii) On the gap between the number of sanctioned houses and target allocated to the State, the State informed that this was due to non-availability of funds and proposal for release of funds has already been sent to the Ministry. In this regard, it was informed that the proposal for release of fund to the State is under consideration in IFD. As and when the proposal is concurred by IFD, the funds may be released to the State.

14. Assam

Agenda: Gap between house allocated and house sanctioned

- (i) The State informed that the State government has agreed to provide funds to landless beneficiaries for purchasing homesite. But this proposal will be considered in the next budget of the State Government. The State informed that they were not surrendering any target.
- (ii) *It was clarified again to the State that landless beneficiaries were accorded top priority under PMAY-G, therefore, they must be given land by the State Government for construction of their PMAY-G houses.*

15. Tamil Nadu

Agenda Item No.1 : Gap between house allocated and house sanctioned

- (i) The State was requested to fast track the completion of houses and maximise the completion by end of 31st March, 2019. The representative of Tamil Nadu

informed that the State was committed to complete the targeted number of houses by 31.03.2019 and hence will not surrender the target.

Agenda Item No.2: Special project for additional 2 lakh houses to coastal districts affected by Gaja cyclone.

- (ii) On of allocation of additional targets for Gaja cyclone affected areas, it was informed that the proposal for Special Project under PMAY-G was not in prescribed format. Moreover, the allocation of targets would require approval of the Competent Authority in Government of India.
- (iii) *However, to expedite the proposal for the areas affected by Gaja, it was mentioned that the validation of Awaas+ data may be carried out on priority for the State of Tamil Nadu.*

16. Manipur

Agenda : Re-mapping of GPs due to bifurcation of Blocks.

- (i) The State informed that re-mapping of GPs due to bifurcation of Blocks is pending in the State therefore, they were not able to upload adequate data for inclusion of households in PWL through Awaas+. The State requested additional time for uploading the Awaas+ data.
- (ii) *It was mentioned in the meeting that NIC will examine the issue and appropriate action will be taken by Ministry.*
- (iii) Also the State mentioned that administrative fund of 0.5% is inadequate for small State. The State expressed that the targets will be completed within stipulated time and they requested for more targets to be allocated to the State from the targets surrendered by the other States.
- (iv) *It was agreed that the Program Division will consider the request of the State Government.*

17. Chhattisgarh

Agenda : Exemption from four stages Geo-tagging in Naxal districts

- (i) The State Government of Chhattisgarh proposed that in most sensitive naxal affected districts namely Dantewada, Narayanpur and Bijapur naxalites

oppose geo-tagging of houses at various stage of construction may be executed for security and safety reasons. The State may be allowed to geo-tag the PMAY-G house one time only after completion of the house.

- (ii) *As the representative of State of Chhattisgarh came after the meeting was very over, it was informed that the issue of State of Chhattisgarh will be considered in the next EC meeting.*

18. Goa

Agenda- Change in target

- (i) State of Goa has 1494 beneficiaries in their PWL. The Ministry has allocated a target of 761 houses to the State. Against these targets only 91 beneficiaries have been registered and 1st instalment is given to 55 beneficiaries. The State intimated to surrender target of 334 houases.
- (ii) *The representatives of Goa were not present in the meeting. Hence the agenda items pertaining to Goa were not discussed.*

19. Andhra Pradesh

Agenda: Gap between house allocated and house sanctioned

The representatives of Andhra Pradesh were not present in the meeting. Hence the agenda items pertaining to Andhra Pradesh were not discussed.

20. Bihar

- Agenda:**
- (i) **Provision of PMAY-G houses to Kala-Azar areas on priority.**
 - (ii) **Gap between house allocated and house sanctioned**

The representatives of Bihar were not present in the meeting. Hence the agenda items pertaining to Bihar were not discussed.

21. Kerala

Agenda- Gap between house allocated and house sanctioned

The representatives of Kerala were not present in the meeting. Hence the agenda items pertaining to Kerala were not discussed.

22. **Mizoram**

Agenda- Gap between house allocated and house sanctioned

The representatives of Mizoram were not present in the meeting. Hence the agenda items pertaining to Mizoram were not discussed.

23. **Nagaland**

Agenda: Removal restriction of one FTO per day to the vendor under new fund flow module.

The representatives of Nagaland were not present in the meeting. Hence the agenda items pertaining to Nagaland were not discussed.

24. The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.

Annexure-I

List of Participants of EC meeting held on 8.1.2019

| S.No. | Name | Designation | Organisation |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1. | Shri Amarjeet Sinha | Secretary, In the Chair | MoRD |
| 2. | Shri Sanjeev Kumar | Additional Secretary, RD | MoRD |
| 3. | Shri Prasant Kumar | Joint Secretary, RH | MoRD |
| 4. | Shri Gaya Prasad | Deputy Director General, RH | MoRD |
| 5. | Shri Prashant Mittal | Sr.Tech. Director,NIC | MoRD |
| 6. | Shri S.S. Modi | Deputy Secretary, RH | MoRD |
| 7. | Shri P.K. Singh | Deputy Secretary, RH | MoRD |
| 8. | Shri Ashish Shinde | Assistant Commissioner, RH | MoRD |
| 9. | Shri Mohit Verma | Asst. Director | MoRD |
| 10. | Shri V.P Rajvedi | US, Finance | MoRD |
| Representative from States/UTs | | | |
| 11. | Shri B.N. Biradar | General Manager | RGHCL, Housing Deptt. Karnataka |
| 12. | Shri V.K. Upadhyay | Deputy Director/SNO | Govt. of Uttarakhand |
| 13. | Shri M.H. Khan | Addl. Chief Secretary | Govt. of Manipur |
| 14. | Shri. Dhananjay Mali | Director, RH | Govt. of Maharashtra |
| 15. | Dr. J.B.Ekka | Principal Secretary | Govt. of Assam |
| 16. | Shri. K. Baskaran | Director, RD & PR | Tamil Nadu |
| 17. | Shri P.Senthil Kumar | Commissioner, RD | Sikkim |
| 18. | Shri.Sisum Wangchuk Bhutiya | Under Secretary, RH | Sikkim |
| 19. | Shri Arun Kumar | Project Economist | Haryana |
| 20. | Shri Sumit Kumar | Joint Director | Haryana |
| 21. | Shri Usman Pasha | AGM | Karnataka |
| 22. | Shri Churchill Kumar | State MIS | DoRD, Uttarakhand |
| 23. | Shri F. Abdul Razaick | Addl. Director, RD | Tamil Nadu |
| 24. | Shri Prakash Parihar | IT Consultant | Chattisgarh |
| 25. | Shri Sandeep Sundri | Program Manager | Chattisgarh |
| 26. | Shri Kuldeep Singh | Joint Resident Commisioner | Andaman & Nicobar |

| | | | |
|-----|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| 27. | Smt. Sheetal Nanda | Secretary, RD & PR | Govt. of J&K |
| 28. | Shri S. Shabir Shafi | Director | Govt. of J&K |
| 29. | Streamlet Rapphap | IT Manager | Govt. of Meghalaya |
| 30. | Ibashida Swer | Accountant | Govt. of Meghalaya |
| 31. | Shri H.T. Suresh | E.D. | HUDCO |
| 32. | Smt Radha Ror | GM | HUDCO |
| 33. | Smt Vandana Sharma | Dy. Advisor | NITI Aayog |
| 34. | Smt Rangolli Pathak | RO | NITI Aayog |